UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
 10/801,991	03/16/2004	Toru Yamakawa	50T5627.01	3339	
36738 DOCUTEZ 8 A 6	7590 12/27/2007		. EXAM	- EXAMINER -	
ROGITZ & AS 750 B STREE			NATNAEL, PAULOS M		
SUITE 3120 SAN DIEGO,	CA 92101	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
o · Diboo, ·	,		2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/801,991 YAMAKAWA, TO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paulos M. Natnael	2622	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailling date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oct This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-18 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/16/04;7/08/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		·

Application/Control Number:

10/801,991 Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 2. Claims **19-27** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 19-27 recite data structure. A "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions. Data structures fall into the category of non-functional descriptive material. Nonfunctional descriptive material includes but is not limited to music, literary works, and a compilation or mere arrangement of data. When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored on a computer-readable medium, in a computer, or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, does not make it statutory. See MPEP 2106.

Claims **19-27** recite compilation or mere arrangement of data into columns, and therefore are non-statutory.

10/801,991 Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims **19-27** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ball et al. U.S. Pat. No. 5, 873,759.

Considering claims 19-27, Ball et al. (hereinafter, "Ball") discloses an apparatus for manufacturing CRT display, comprising a machine-readable recording storing the measured electrical and beam-landing characteristics (abstract; col. 2, lines 51+) that may be stored in a look-up table, and an adjustment stage 220 (Fig.2) to tune the drive circuitry 20 [and] "to optimise performance of the display. Such tuning typically includes adjustment of video cut-off levels and video gains in the video amplifier; adjustment of grid 2 and focus voltages in the EHT generator; and, adjustment of around 15 geometric parameters such picture height, width, and centering in the line and frame deflection circuits... as shown in FIG. 2, the tuning adjustments for each display are performed automatically based on the recorded characteristics 170 corresponding to the CRT 10 incorporated in the display. Specifically, the production line 200 comprises a computer controlled adjustment station 220 automatically tuning each assembled display device to produce the desired output performance..." See, col. 4, line 25+.

Ball discloses display parameters or characteristics such as Beam landing (abstract), geometry and color balance (col. 1, lines 45+). Further, Ball teaches that "the recorded characteristics are stored in a look up table provided by the CRT manufacturer with the corresponding batch of CRTs, the adjustment station 220 is granted access to the look-up table. Each of the batch of CRTs carries a serial number encoded in machine readable form. The adjustment station 220 is provided with a sensor for detecting the serial number. On detection of each serial number, the adjustment station 220 selects the corresponding recorded characteristics from the look-up table and programs the display processor of the display device containing the corresponding CRT 10 accordingly. (See col. 4, lines 25+; emphasis added).

Ball does not specifically disclose the word "data structure". However, as shown above, Ball teaches storing adjustment characteristics, parameters, or adjustment information. Storing such adjustment information in a look-up table, machine-readable recording devices and other such storage devices, whether or not it is called data structure, is notoriously well known in the art of television or display devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ball by providing an adjustment information storage system that is structured in such a way to show the different parameters used in the adjustment process and that can be accessed easily by displaying the data on the screen so that the viewer, such as a supervisory or inspection manager, may be able to use it accordingly while inspecting the TV assembly process on the assembly line or in a remote located computer monitor away from the production line.

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Paulos M. Natnael* whose telephone number is (571) 272-7354. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *David Ometz* can be reached on *(571)272-7593*. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is *571-273-8300*.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

aulos M. Natnael

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2622

December 10, 2007